

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/540,192	Applicant(s) SCHMIDT, AXEL H.	
	Examiner PEGAH PARVINI	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

(1) PEGAH PARVINI.

(2) EDWARD MCMAHON.

Status of Application: Non-Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 April 2010

Time: 11:00am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called applicants' representative to inform them that a new art has been found which would prevent the application to pass on to issue even though most claims were allowed in the last action, Final Action mailed on 2/19/2010. The Examiner informed them that a new action will be prepared based on the new art. .